

Application No. 10/535,270
Amendment Dated: March 14, 2008
Reply to Office Action of: September 14, 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.: **10/535,270**
Applicant: **Rex W. NEWKIRK et al.**
Filed: **November 28, 2003**
Title: **"ISOLATION OF INOSITOL FROM PLANT MATERIALS"**
Art Unit: **1655**
Examiner: **MI, Qiuwen**
Docket No.: **101927/43**

Mail Stop Amendment
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action of September 14, 2007. It is filed contemporaneously with a Petition for a three month extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136, with fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(a) extending the date for response to **March 14, 2008**.

The Applicant has no amendments to put forward at this time. The Applicant wishes to present arguments on the issues of enablement and obviousness. Those remarks begin on the next page, page 2, of this submission.